

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/856,793	08/27/2001	Nicola Jane Dickson	MCA-432	3748
75	590 09/25/2003			
Kevin S Lemack Nields & Lemack			EXAMINER	
176 E Main Stre	eet Suite 8		THERKORN,	ERNEST G
Westboro, MA	01581		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1723	
			DATE MAILED: 09/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>*</i>		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/856,793	DICKSON, NICOLA JANE			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ernest G. Therkorn	1723			
7 Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHOR THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the peri - If NO per - Failure to - Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Ins of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. iod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply riod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we preply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or received by the Office later than three months after the mailing attent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 </u>	<u> August 2003</u> .				
2a)⊠ T	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Thi	is action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowards and accordance with the practice under a of Claims					
4)⊠ CI	aim(s) 1 and 3-6 is/are pending in the applic	cation.				
<b>4</b> a	) Of the above claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are withdra	awn from consideration.				
5)∏ CI	laim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ CI	laim(s) <u>1, 3, and 4</u> is/are rejected.					
7) <u></u> Cl	laim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)☐ CI Application	laim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
9)∏ <b>T</b> h	e specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep		miner.			
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) 🗌 Th	e proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.			
1	f approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.				
12)∐ <b>T</b> h	e oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)∏ A∈	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
a) <u></u>	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
1.	☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buethe attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_			
l	knowledgment is made of a claim for domesti					
_a) [	☐ The translation of the foreign language proknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application has been rec	ceived.			
Attachment(s)						
	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/856,793

Art Unit: 1723

Claims 1, 3, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. No support can be found for claim 1, line 2's "slurry containing the chromatography column" can be found. No support for claim 1, line 4's "directly" can be found. Page 21 of the specification would appear to indicate that the slurry is transported to the "bottom of the chromatography column" prior to returning to the slurry vessel.

Claims 1, 3, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. "slurry containing the chromatography column" is considered to render the claims indefinite.

The amendment filed August 27, 2001 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The amendments to Figure 5 and pages 21 and 23 of the specification are considered to be new matter.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Application/Control Number: 09/856,793

Art Unit: 1723

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(B) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over each of Unger (U.S. Patent No. 5,610,322) and Munch (E.P. 515,955). The claims are considered to read on each of Unger (U.S. Patent No. 5,610,322) and Munch (E.P. 515,955). However, if a difference exists between the claims and each of Unger (U.S. Patent No. 5,610,322) and Munch (E.P. 515,955), it would reside in optimizing the steps of each of Unger (U.S. Patent No. 5,610,322) and Munch (E.P. 515,955). It would have obvious to optimize the steps of each of Unger (U.S. Patent No. 5,610,322) and Munch (E.P. 515,955) to enhance separation.

The remarks urge that the amendments to Figure 5 and pages 21 and 23 of the specification are not new matter. However, they add matter not present at the time of filing the application. As such, they are directed to new matter.

Application/Control Number: 09/856,793

Art Unit: 1723

The remarks urge that Unger (U.S. Patent No. 5,610,322) does not show circulating the packing material. However, the only purpose that the pipe extending from the valve at the top of column 1 to packing material receiver (8) could have is to recirculate packing material.

The remarks urge that Munch (E.P. 515,955) does not show circulating the packing material. However, Munch (E.P. 515,955) recirculates material through valves 9 and 11 back to slurry vessel 11.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to E. Therkorn at telephone number (703) 308-0362.

Ernest G. Therkorn Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723

EGT September 22, 2003